





Problem: Data augmentation can help robot policies be more robust to distribution shift by varying *task-irrelevant* concepts, but how do we know what is irrelevant?



Idea: Provide counterfactual demonstrations as a contrastive explanation to help users isolate the cause of failure.



Diagnosis, Feedback, Adaptation: A Human-in-the-Loop Framework for Test-Time Policy Adaptation Andi Peng, Aviv Netanyahu, Mark Ho, Tianmin Shu, Andreea Bobu, Julie Shah, Pulkit Agrawal

Key insight: End users are uniquely positioned to know what is task-irrelevant.

"Put the object on the bowl"



"Put the object on the goal, *ignore pans*"



"Put the object on the goal, ignore blocks"



Framework





Users are significantly more accurate at identifying the correct shifted concept with our method than with behaviour alone.





Our method is more sample efficient than human and random augmentation baselines.